

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/552,131	04/19/2000	Anuradha Narasimhaswamy Melkote	199-1997	3473
28549 7:	590 09/15/2003			
KEVIN G. M		EXAMINER		
ARTZ & ARTZ 28333 TELEGI	Z, P.C. RAPH ROAD, SUITE 25	LY, ANH		
SOUTHFIELD	, MI 48034		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2172	12
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	OF				
Office Action Summary		09/552,131		MELKOTE ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Anh Ly		2172					
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication apport in Reply 	ears on the cover shee	et with the co	orrespondence add	iress				
THE : - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum owill apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	ay a reply be time of thirty (30) days MONTHS from the ne ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.	<u>lune 2003</u> .							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under				e merits is				
• —	ion of Claims Claim(s) 1 41 is/are pending in the application								
•	Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·)∐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement							
	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	oted or b) objected to	by the Exan	niner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	· ·	• •					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ , ,,	disappro	ved by the Examine	er.				
40)□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	- •							
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				•				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).		Stage				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).				
	The translation of the foreign language proAcknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •							
Attachmen	•	-							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice	e of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(satent Application (PTC					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/552,131

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The appellant has filed Appeal Brief on 06/16/2003, which was carefully considered by an Appeal Conference. The conferees found appellant's argument, "find no teaching or suggestion in this application for the proposition of saving the selected information from an invention disclosure after each portion is entered," in the claims 1 and 17. (Page 4, lines 21-23 of the Appeal brief) to be persuasive. It was decided by the conferees that the final Rejection in Pager #9 is now withdrawn. The Office regrets any inconvenience caused to the applicant.
- 2. Claims 1-41 are pending in this application.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities: The line 10 of the claim 23 is repeated as the line 9 of the claim 23: "prompting an evaluation from the evaluator." It should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/552,131 Page 3

Art Unit: 2172

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-3, 7, 9, 17-18, 21-23, 37-38 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,157,947 issued to Watanabe et al. (hereinafter Watanabe) in view of US Patent No. 5,991,780 issued to Rivette et al. (hereinafter Rivette).

With respect to claim 1, Watanabe discloses forming an invention disclosure online by entering a plurality of selected information portions into a web-based system (an intellectual property is form via Internet or web-based system in order to distribute to

Art Unit: 2172

the users of the system: col. 15, lines 27-38 and lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-12 and col. 5, lines 20-54 and col. 6, lines 41-49); and allowing access to various users for reviewing the information (the result is displayed to the user: col. 15, lines 32-38 and lines 42-57; also see abstract, disclosure extents and piece of intellectual property and memory portion: col. 1, lines 8-14 and lines 38-67 and col. 2, lines 10-38; also see Internet or web-based system; col. 3, lines 20-54; figs. 15 and 16).

Watanabe discloses an intellectual property database is formed and distributed via Internet or web-based system (see fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 20-54) and displayed the result for the user via display screen (col. 6, lines 41-49, col. 15, lines 27-38, lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-11). Watanabe discloses the entered intellectual property is stored in the server for users to retrieve (see fig. 6, item S12 and fig. 19, item S65, col. 6, lines 46-55 and col. 17, lines 65-67). Watanabe does not explicitly indicate after each of the plurality of selected information portions are entered, storing each of the information portions in a central storage location.

However, Rivette discloses the finished patents are stored in the primary library (see fig. 1, items 30 and col. 11, lines 29-31).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Watanabe with the teachings of Rivette so as to obtain a finished patents library where entered or registered patents or intellectual properties are stored as a central storage ,location (col. 11, lines 29-31). This combination would have made the method for automatically

Art Unit: 2172

distributing, retrieving, and displaying the intellectual properties, which are stored in the central storage library over an invention disclose in an on-line system via Internet.

With respect to claims 2-3, Watanabe discloses step of forming includes providing identification information; whereby upon providing identification information to said web-based server; and retrieving user information from the directory system in response to the identification information (Internet, firewall: see figs 3 and 5, col. 20-54; user ID and password: col. 18, lines 35-61); and step of prompting the user for classification information (category information interpreting as classification information: see table 8-10 and col. 13, lines 1-67 and col. 14, lines 1-65; also see Intellectual Property (IP) ID: col. 11, lines 20-67 and col. 12, lines 1-67).

With respect to claims 7 and 9, Watanabe discloses ranking the disclosure; and prompting a patentability review from the patent staff person (col. 11, lines 20-67 and col. 12, lines 1-67; and col. 2, lines 1-39).

Claim 17 is essentially the same as claim 1 except that it is directed to a system rather than a method ('947 of an intellectual property is form via Internet or web-based system in order to distribute to the users of the system: col. 15, lines 27-38 and lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-12 and col. 5, lines 20-54 and col. 6, lines 41-49; the result is displayed to the user: col. 15, lines 32-38 and lines 42-57; also see abstract, disclosure extents and piece of intellectual property and memory portion: col. 1, lines 8-14 and lines 38-67 and col. 2, lines 10-38; also see Internet or web-based system; col. 3, lines 20-54; figs. 15 and 16; and '780 of see fig. 1, items 30 and col. 11, lines 29-31), and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 1 hereinabove.

Art Unit: 2172

Claim 18 is essentially the same as claim 2 except that it is directed to a system rather than a method (user ID and password: col. 18, lines 35-61), and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 2 hereinabove.

With respect to claims 21-22, Watanabe discloses user computer comprises a CAD file viewer (col. 10, lines 10-40 and col.12, lines 30-60); and wherein said server comprises a web single login (col. 18, lines 35-61).

With respect to claim 23, Watanabe discloses forming an invention disclosure online by entering a plurality of selected information into a web-based system; after each of the plurality of selected information is entered, allowing access to various users to access the information; prompting the user for classification information (an intellectual property is form via Internet or web-based system in order to distribute to the users of the system: col. 15, lines 27-38 and lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-12 and col. 5, lines 20-54 and col. 6, lines 41-49; the result is displayed to the user: col. 15, lines 32-38 and lines 42-57; also see abstract, disclosure extents and piece of intellectual property and memory portion: col. 1, lines 8-14 and lines 38-67 and col. 2, lines 10-38; also see Internet or web-based system; col. 3, lines 20-54; figs. 15 and 16; abstract, disclosure extents and piece of intellectual property and memory portion: col. 1, lines 8-14 and lines 38-67 and col. 2, lines 10-38; also see Internet or web-based system; col. 3, lines 20-54; col. 15, lines 24-58 and figs. 15 and 16; category information interpreting as classification information: see table 8-10 and col. 13, lines 1-67 and col. 14, lines 1-65; also see Intellectual Property (IP) ID: col. 11, lines 20-67 and col. 12, lines 1-67).

Art Unit: 2172

Watanabe discloses an intellectual property database is formed and distributed via Internet or web-based system (see fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 20-54) and displayed the result for the user via display screen (col. 6, lines 41-49, col. 15, lines 27-38, lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-11). Watanabe discloses the entered intellectual property is stored in the server for users to retrieve (see fig. 6, item S12 and fig. 19, item S65, col. 6, lines 46-55 and col. 17, lines 65-67). Watanabe does not explicitly indicate after each of the plurality of selected information portions are entered, storing each of the information portions in a central storage location; notifying an evaluator and prompting an evaluation.

However, Rivette discloses the finished patents are stored in the primary library (see fig. 1, items 30 and col. 11, lines 29-31) and graphical user interface screen from which the user enabling to enter information to retrieve or update information as needed (see abstract, col. 4, lines 12-67 and col. 5, lines 1-10; also see figs 17, 19, 22...); response signals to the users (col. 4, lines 35-50 and col. 11, lines 1-12).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Watanabe with the teachings of Rivette so as to obtain a finished patents library where entered or registered patents or intellectual properties are stored as a central storage ,location (col. 11, lines 29-31). This combination would have made the method for automatically distributing, retrieving, and displaying the intellectual properties, which are stored in the central storage library over an invention disclose in an on-line system via Internet.

Art Unit: 2172

With respect to claim 37, Watanabe disclose entering identification information retrieving user information from a directory system in response to said identification information entering disclosure information to create an invention disclosure; coupling said user information with said disclosure (an intellectual property is form via Internet or web-based system in order to distribute to the users of the system: col. 15, lines 27-38 and lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-12 and col. 5, lines 20-54 and col. 6, lines 41-49; the result is displayed to the user: col. 15, lines 32-38 and lines 42-57see abstract, col. 1, lines 8-14 and lines 38-67, col. 2, lines 1-39; IP database: see fig. 10 item 22 and 32 the portion of IP information is stored in the IP database in order to transfer to the request server , col. 7, lines 38-56).

Watanabe discloses an intellectual property database is formed and distributed via Internet or web-based system (see fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 20-54) and displayed the result for the user via display screen (col. 6, lines 41-49, col. 15, lines 27-38, lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-11). Watanabe discloses the entered intellectual property is stored in the server for users to retrieve (see fig. 6, item S12 and fig. 19, item S65, col. 6, lines 46-55 and col. 17, lines 65-67). Watanabe does not explicitly indicate storing the disclosure in a database.

However, Rivette discloses the finished patents are stored in the database (see fig. 1, items 30 and col. 11, lines 29-31).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Watanabe with the teachings of Rivette so as to obtain a finished patents library where entered or

Art Unit: 2172

registered patents or intellectual properties are stored as a central storage ,location (col. 11, lines 29-31). This combination would have made the method for automatically distributing, retrieving, and displaying the intellectual properties, which are stored in the central storage library over an invention disclose in an on-line system via Internet.

With respect to claim 38 and 41, Watanabe discloses prompting the user for classification information; and prompting a patentability review from the patent staff person (col. 11, lines 20-67 and col. 12, lines 1-67; col. 12, lines 1-67; and col. 2, lines 1-39).

7. Claims 4-6, 8, 10-15, 19-20, 24-35, and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,157,947 issued to Watanabe et al. (hereinafter Watanabe) in view of US Patent No. 5,991,780 issued to Rivette et al. (hereinafter Rivette) and further in view of US Patent No. 5,987,464 issued to Schneider.

With respect to claims 4-6 and 8, Watanabe in view of Rivette discloses a method of forming an on-line invention disclosure as discussed in claim 1.

Watanabe and Rivette in combination disclose an intellectual property database is formed and distributed via Internet or web-based system (see fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 20-54) and displayed the result for the user via display screen (Watanabe - col. 6, lines 41-49, col. 15, lines 27-38, lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-11) and entered patents are stored in a central storage library (see fig. 1, items 30 and col. 11, lines 29-31).

Art Unit: 2172

Watanabe in view of Rivette does not explicitly indicate notifying an evaluator in response to the classification information; prompting an evaluation from the evaluator; generating an E-mail; providing a hyperlink to the disclosure in the E-mail; notifying a patent staff person in response to the classification information; prompting an evaluation comprises scheduling an evaluation meeting.

However, Schneider discloses notifying to the user via e-mail, and hyperlink, and scheduler as claimed (col. 1, lines 15-26, col. 4, lines 62-67, col. 5, lines 1-9, col. 6, lines 8-25, col. 7, lines 26-45, col. 10, lines 12-49 and col. 12, lines 1-33; col. 18, lines 40-67 and col. 19, lines 1-8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Watanabe in view of Rivette with the teachings of Schneider so as to have notification via e-mail and hyperlink. This combination would have made the method enabling to connect to the Internet or other on-line services via the transceiver of the computer system from the users can obtain the e-mail as well as the scheduler (Schneider – col. 6, lines 8-24 and col. 11, lines 65-67 and col. 12, lines 1-32) and automatically distributing, retrieving, and displaying the intellectual properties, which are stored in the central storage library over an invention disclose in an on-line system via Internet.

With respect to claims 10-15, Watanabe in view of Rivette discloses a method of forming an on-line invention disclosure as discussed in claim 1. Also Watanabe discloses displaying and user ID and password as claimed (col. 2, lines 1-39 and col. 18, lines 35-61) database server (see fig. 4 and fig. 10).

Art Unit: 2172

Watanabe and Rivette in combination disclose an intellectual property database is formed and distributed via Internet or web-based system (see fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 20-54) and displayed the result for the user via display screen (Watanabe - col. 6, lines 41-49, col. 15, lines 27-38, lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-11) and entered patents are stored in a central storage library (see fig. 1, items 30 and col. 11, lines 29-31). Watanabe in view of Rivette does not explicitly indicate notifying co-authors of a disclosure with their name associated therewith in the system; notifying comprises the step of generating an E-mail having a hyperlink therein; providing a status update via E-mail.

However, Schneider discloses notifying to the user via e-mail, hyperlink as claimed (see fig. 11, col. 3, lines 59-67, col. 4, lines 1-8, col. 10, lines 12-49, col. 15, lines 52-67, col. 16, lines 1-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Watanabe in view of Rivette with the teachings of Schneider so as to have notification via e-mail and hyperlink. This combination would have made the method enabling to connect to the Internet or other on-line services via the transceiver of the computer system from the users can obtain the e-mail as well as the scheduler (Schneider – col. 6, lines 8-24 and col. 11, lines 65-67 and col. 12, lines 1-32) and automatically distributing, retrieving, and displaying the intellectual properties, which are stored in the central storage library over an invention disclose in an on-line system via Internet.

Art Unit: 2172

With respect to claims 19-20, Watanabe in view of Rivette discloses a system of forming an on-line invention disclosure as discussed in claim 17.

Watanabe and Rivette in combination disclose an intellectual property database is formed and distributed via Internet or web-based system (see fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 20-54) and displayed the result for the user via display screen (Watanabe - col. 6, lines 41-49, col. 15, lines 27-38, lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-11) and entered patents are stored in a central storage library (see fig. 1, items 30 and col. 11, lines 29-31). Watanabe in view of Rivette does not explicitly indicate wherein said server comprises a web server and a web browser.

However, Schneider discloses web server and web browser as claimed (see fig. 11, col. 15, lines 52-67 and col. 16, lines 1-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Watanabe in view of Rivette with the teachings of Schneider so as to have on-line database to search such as requesting a patent search at the provider's search page on the Internet (Schneider – col. 15, lines 60-67 and col. 16, lines 1-20). This combination would have made the method enabling to connect to the Internet or other on-line services via the transceiver of the computer system from the users can obtain a patent search in the Internet (Schneider – col. 6, lines 8-24 and col. 11, lines 65-67 and col. 12, lines 1-32 and col. 16, lines 1-10) and automatically distributing, retrieving, and displaying the intellectual properties, which are stored in the central storage library over an invention disclose in an on-line system via Internet.

Art Unit: 2172

With respect to claim 24, Watanabe discloses forming includes providing identification information; whereby upon providing identification information to said web-based server, retrieving user information from the directory system in response to the identification information (col. 18, lines 35-61; col. 11, lines 20-67 and col. 12, lines 1-67).

With respect to claims 25-29, Watanabe in view of Rivette discloses a system of invention discloses submission as discussed in claim 23. And Watanabe discloses ranking and displaying for review (col. 11, lines 20-67 and col. 12, lines 1-67; and col. 2, lines 1-39).

Watanabe and Rivette in combination disclose an intellectual property database is formed and distributed via Internet or web-based system (see fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 20-54) and displayed the result for the user via display screen (Watanabe - col. 6, lines 41-49, col. 15, lines 27-38, lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-11) and entered patents are stored in a central storage library (see fig. 1, items 30 and col. 11, lines 29-31). Watanabe in view of Rivette does not explicitly indicate notifying comprises the step of generating an E-mail having a hyperlink therein; providing a status update via E-mail, scheduling an evaluation meeting, ranking the disclosure, and notifying a patent staff person.

However, Schneider discloses notifying to the user via e-mail, hyperlink, scheduler (see fig. 11, col. 3, lines 59-67, col. 4, lines 1-8, col. 10, lines 12-49, col. 15, lines 52-67, col. 16, lines 1-33).

Art Unit: 2172

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Watanabe in view of Rivette with the teachings of Schneider so as to have notification via e-mail and hyperlink. This combination would have made the method enabling to connect to the Internet or other on-line services via the transceiver of the computer system from the users can obtain the e-mail as well as the scheduler (Schneider – col. 6, lines 8-24 and col. 11, lines 65-67 and col. 12, lines 1-32) and automatically distributing, retrieving, and displaying the intellectual properties, which are stored in the central storage library over an invention disclose in an on-line system via Internet.

With respect to claim 30-35, Watanabe in view of Rivette discloses a submission invention disclosure system as discussed in claim 23. Also Watanabe discloses displaying and user ID and password as claimed (col. 2, lines 1-39 and col. 18, lines 35-61).

Watanabe and Rivette in combination disclose an intellectual property database is formed and distributed via Internet or web-based system (see fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 20-54) and displayed the result for the user via display screen (Watanabe - col. 6, lines 41-49, col. 15, lines 27-38, lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-11) and entered patents are stored in a central storage library (see fig. 1, items 30 and col. 11, lines 29-31). Watanabe in view of Rivette does not explicitly indicate identifying co-authors; notifying co-authors of a disclosure with their name associated therewith in the system; notifying comprises the step of generating an E-mail having a hyperlink therein; viewing the status of the invention disclosure on-line; providing a status update via E-mail.

Art Unit: 2172

However, Schneider discloses database connecting with web server, notifying to the user via e-mail, hyperlink as claimed (see fig. 11, col. 3, lines 59-67, col. 4, lines 1-8, col. 10, lines 12-49, col. 15, lines 52-67, col. 16, lines 1-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Watanabe in view of Rivette with the teachings of Schneider so as to have notification via e-mail and hyperlink. This combination would have made the method enabling to connect to the Internet or other on-line services via the transceiver of the computer system from the users can obtain the e-mail as well as the scheduler (Schneider – col. 6, lines 8-24 and col. 11, lines 65-67 and col. 12, lines 1-32) and automatically distributing, retrieving, and displaying the intellectual properties, which are stored in the central storage library over an invention disclose in an on-line system via Internet.

With respect to claim 39-40, Watanabe in view of Rivette discloses a method of submitting documents as discussed in claim 37.

Watanabe and Rivette in combination disclose an intellectual property database is formed and distributed via Internet or web-based system (see fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 20-54) and displayed the result for the user via display screen (Watanabe - col. 6, lines 41-49, col. 15, lines 27-38, lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-11) and entered patents are stored in a central storage library (see fig. 1, items 30 and col. 11, lines 29-31). Watanabe in view of Rivette does not explicitly indicate notifying an evaluator in response to the classification information; prompting an evaluation from the evaluator; and notifying a patent staff person in response to the classification information.

Art Unit: 2172

However, Schneider discloses notifying an evaluator and notifying to a patent staff as claimed (col. 1, lines 15-26, col. 4, lines 62-67, col. 5, lines 1-9, col. 6, lines 8-25, col. 7, lines 26-45, col. 10, lines 12-49 and col. 12, lines 1-33; col. 18, lines 40-67 and col. 19, lines 1-8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Watanabe in view of Rivette with the teachings of Schneider so as to have notification via e-mail and hyperlink. This combination would have made the method enabling to connect to the Internet or other on-line services via the transceiver of the computer system from the users can obtain the e-mail as well as the scheduler (Schneider – col. 6, lines 8-24 and col. 11, lines 65-67 and col. 12, lines 1-32) and automatically distributing, retrieving, and displaying the intellectual properties, which are stored in the central storage library over an invention disclose in an on-line system via Internet.

8. Claims 16 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,157,947 issued to Watanabe et al. (hereinafter Watanabe)) in view of US Patent No. 5,991,780 issued to Rivette et al. (hereinafter Rivette) and further in view of US Patent No. 5,329,447 issued to Leedom, Jr. (hereinafter Leedom).

With respect to claim 16, Watanabe in view of Rivette discloses a method of forming an on-line invention disclosure as discussed in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2172

Watanabe and Rivette in combination disclose an intellectual property database is formed and distributed via Internet or web-based system (see fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 20-54) and displayed the result for the user via display screen (Watanabe - col. 6, lines 41-49, col. 15, lines 27-38, lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-11) and entered patents are stored in a central storage library (see fig. 1, items 30 and col. 11, lines 29-31). Watanabe in view of Rivette does not explicitly indicate accepting a paper submission; and wherein the step of forming comprises scanning said paper submission into the database.

However, Leedom discloses paper associated with law case and scanning system as claimed (col. 4, lines 52-67, col. 5, lines 1-12, col. 10, lines 8-34 and col. 15, lines 21-56).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Watanabe in view of Rivette with the teachings of Leedom so as to have a hard copy of papers in the system (col. 4, lines 62-67 and col. 5, lines 1-12). This combination would have made the method having a way to get hard copy of papers from the system (Leedom – col. 10, lines 8-30), and automatically distributing, retrieving, and displaying the intellectual properties, which are stored in the central storage library over an invention disclose in an on-line system via Internet.

With respect to claim 36, Watanabe in view of Rivette discloses a method of forming an on-line invention disclosure as discussed in claim 23.

Art Unit: 2172

Watanabe and Rivette in combination disclose an intellectual property database is formed and distributed via Internet or web-based system (see fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 20-54) and displayed the result for the user via display screen (Watanabe - col. 6, lines 41-49, col. 15, lines 27-38, lines 50-67 and col. 16, lines 1-11) and entered patents are stored in a central storage library (see fig. 1, items 30 and col. 11, lines 29-31). Watanabe in view of Rivette does not explicitly indicate accepting a paper submission; and wherein the step of forming comprises scanning said paper submission into the database.

However, Leedom discloses paper associated with law case and scanning system as claimed (col. 4, lines 52-67, col. 5, lines 1-12, col. 10, lines 8-34 and col. 15, lines 21-56).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Watanabe in view of Rivette with the teachings of Leedom so as to have a hard copy of papers in the system (col. 4, lines 62-67 and col. 5, lines 1-12). This combination would have made the method having a way to get hard copy of papers from the system (Leedom – col. 10, lines 8-30), and automatically distributing, retrieving, and displaying the intellectual properties, which are stored in the central storage library over an invention disclose in an on-line system via Internet.

Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Anh Ly whose telephone number is (703) 306-4527 or via E-Mail: ANH.LY@USPTO.GOV. The examiner can be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, see the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu, can be reached on (703) 305-4393.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 746-7238 (after Final Communication)

or: (703) 746-7239 (for formal communications intended for entry)

or: (703) 746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, or Customer Service Center, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor (receptionist).

Inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100